

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/775,290	02/10/2004	Yuan-Hung Liu	TSM03-0649	1174	
25962	7590 09/26/2005		EXAMINER LEE, CALVIN		
	MATSIL, L.L.P.				
17950 PRESTON RD, SUITE 1000 DALLAS, TX 75252-5793			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
222, 1.			2818		

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				H'}
	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/775,	290	LIU et al.	
Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	
	Lee, Ca	lvin	2818 ·	
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the period for reply specified above, the maximu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mon earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no sommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within the s m statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the a ths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be tile latutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 02 September	2005 (Election).		,
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is			
3) Since this application is in condit	ion for allowance exce	ot for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the meri	its is
closed in accordance with the pre-	actice under <i>Ex parte</i> C	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) <u>11-20,3</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-10,21-30 and 41-50</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to see the day of	3 <u>1-40 and 51-60</u> is/are s/are rejected.		eration.	
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 February Applicant may not request that any or Replacement drawing sheet(s) includes 11) The oath or declaration is objected.	ary 2004 is/are: a)⊠ a bjection to the drawing(s ding the correction is requ) be held in abeyance. Se uired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
	a to by the Examinor.	, oto mo anabilou o mo		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None o 1. Certified copies of the prio 2. Certified copies of the prio 3. Copies of the certified copies of the Internation from the Internation	f: rity documents have be rity documents have be les of the priority docur ational Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicat nents have been receiv ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	В
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/10/04.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		

Application No: 10/775,290 Page 2
Docket No: TSM03-0649 LIU et al.

OFFICE ACTION

Response to Election

1. The amendment of claims 6 and 26, in the Response to Election dated September 2, 2005, is acknowledged. The Applicant's argument that "there is no alternately method of forming the spacer in claim 1 other than to 'provide' a first spacer insulating layer and to 'provide' a second spacer insulating layer" is persuasive. Therefore, claims 11-20, 31-40, and 51-60 are withdrawn from further consideration. And claims 1-10, 21-30, and 41-50 are subjected for the rejections below.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 41-42, 44-47, and 49-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by *Liu* (US 2005/0136676).

Application No: 10/775,290 Page 3
Docket No: TSM03-0649 LIU et al.

a) In re claims 41 and 46, Liu '676 teaches a method of forming a coupling spacer 136a [Fig. 16 and ¶ 0038] for use with a split gate flash memory cell on a substrate having a substrate insulating layer thereon comprising a conductive layer 136 [¶ 0037] that extends between a floating gate 124a and the substrate insulating layer 108 adjacent a source 160 recessed into the substrate 104 of the memory.

- b) In re claims 42 and 47, *Liu* suggests the conductive layer **136** being a doped polycrystalline silicon [¶ 0037].
- c) In re claims 44 and 49, *Liu* suggests the coupling spacer **136a** is located proximate a composite floating gate spacer **168** of the split gate flash memory cell [¶ 0043].
- d) In re claims 45 and 50, *Liu* teaches the coupling spacer **136a** underlying a contact spacer **144** [¶ 0040] (please see a portion of the inter-layer dielectric **144** covering the curved site of the coupling spacer **136a** and located between two adjacent coupling spacers **136a** in Fig. 16).

Liu teaches or suggests the contact spacer 144 being a composite contact spacer because Liu discloses the spacer material comprising oxynitride beside oxide, nitride, and metal oxide [¶ 0040].

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having skills in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application No: 10/775,290 Page 4
Docket No: TSM03-0649 LIU et al.

5. Claims 43 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu.

Liu suggests the doped polycrystalline silicon layer 136 having a thickness of between 800Å and about 1200Å, but not a thickness of about 200Å.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the claimed layer' thickness (i.e., around 200Å, as suggested by *Hsieh et al* also), since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of the memory spacer. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

- 6. Claims 21-23 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Liu* in view of *Tseng et al* (US 2003/0181053), and further in view of *Hsieh et al* (US 2002/0093044).
- a) In re claims 21, 23, 26, and 28, *Liu* discloses the composite contact spacer 144 [¶ 0040], but not a spacer comprising a second spacer insulating layer on a first spacer insulating layer. Nevertheless, such multi-layer spacer is known in the semiconductor processing art as evidenced by *Tseng et al* disclosing a memory cell with triple spacers 510, 520, 720 [Fig. 7 and ¶ 0026]. It would have been obvious to one having skills in the art to have modified the composite contact spacer of *Liu* by utilizing multi-layer spacer for the purpose of stopping the diffusion of mobile ions into the floating gate(s), thereby providing an enhanced programming speed of the flash memory cell.

However, the combination of *Liu* and *Tseng et al* does not teach or suggest "a second spacer insulating layer overlying a first spacer insulating layer and having a varying deposition distribution across a surface thereof, the second spacer insulating layer having a thinner composition in selected regions of the split gate flash memory cell."

Application No: 10/775,290 Page 5
Docket No: TSM03-0649 LIU et al.

Hsieh et al describes, "a single patterned silicon nitride barrier dielectric layer 18 formed upon the blanket inter-gate electrode dielectric layer 12c [¶ 0038]. Fig. 2 in Hsieh et al discloses a

second spacer insulating layer 18 overlying a first spacer insulating layer 12c, wherein the first

spacer insulating layer 12c has a substantially uniform deposition distribution across a surface being

covered and the second spacer insulating layer 18 has a varying deposition distribution across a

surface (i.e., having a thinner composition in a narrow region between composite floating gate

spacers 16a, 16b of the split gate flash memory cell) [please see the even thickness of layer 12c

compared to the uneven thickness of layer 18 in Fig. 3].

It would have been obvious to one having skills in the art to have modified the composite contact spacer of *Liu* by utilizing "a second spacer insulating layer overlying a first spacer insulating layer and having a second deposition distribution that varies in substantial opposition to said first deposition distribution of the first spacer insulating layer" for the purpose of easily patterning the layers in a subsequent step of forming a composite spacer out from the two layers.

- b) In re claims 22 and 27, *Hsieh et al* also suggests the composite contact spacers 12c, 18 proximate the composite floating gate spacers 16a, 16b of the split gate flash memory cell [Fig. 5].
- 7. Claims 24 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of *Liu*, *Tseng et al*, and *Hsieh et al* '044 in view of *Hsieh et al* (US 5,879,992).

Hsieh et al '044 suggests the first spacer insulating layer 12c formed by a dielectric layer of SiN [¶ 0032]. None of the cited arts so far suggests a spacer layer of an HTO (hot temperature oxide) layer. Hsieh et al '992 suggests [Abstract] a split gate flash having a spacer formed of HTO.

Application No: 10/775,290 Page 6
Docket No: TSM03-0649 LIU et al.

It would have been obvious to one having skills in the art to have modified the material of Liu 's spacer by utilizing a spacer of HTO for the purpose of having an improved spacer that is able to withstand high temperature in subsequent anneal treatment process steps (if required).

8. Claims 25 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of *Liu*, *Tseng et al*, and *Hsieh et al* '044, in view of *Yang* (US 6,875,658).

Hsieh et al '044 suggests the second spacer insulating layer 18 formed by a dielectric layer of SiN [¶ 0038]. None of the cited arts suggests a spacer layer of an RPO (resist protect oxide) layer. Yang suggests a "resist protection oxide layer adjacent to the spacer" [col. 6, ln.25].

It would have been obvious to one having skills in the art to have modified the material of Liu 's spacer by utilizing a spacer layer of RPO for the purpose of having an improved spacer that is able to block a subsequent implantation process step (if required).

- 9. Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of *Liu*, *Tseng et al*, and *Hsieh et al* '044, in view of *Korovin et al* (US 6,790,123).
- a) In re claims 1 and 6, Liu discloses the composite contact spacer 144 [¶ 0040], but not a spacer comprising a second spacer insulating layer on a first spacer insulating layer. Nevertheless, such multi-layer spacer is known in the semiconductor processing art as evidenced by $Tseng\ et\ al$ disclosing a memory cell with triple spacers 510, 520, 720 [Fig. 7 and ¶ 0026]. It would have been obvious to one having skills in the art to have modified the composite contact spacer of Liu by utilizing multi-layer spacer for the purpose of stopping the diffusion of mobile ions into the floating gate(s), thereby providing an enhanced programming speed of the gate flash memory cell.

Application No: 10/775,290 Page 7
Docket No: TSM03-0649 LIU et al.

However, the combination of *Liu* and *Tseng et al* does not teach or suggest "a second spacer insulating layer overlying a first spacer insulating layer and having a varying deposition distribution across a surface thereof, the second spacer insulating layer having a thinner composition in selected regions of the split gate flash memory cell." *Hsieh et al* describes, "single patterned silicon nitride barrier dielectric layer 18 formed upon the blanket inter-gate electrode dielectric layer 12c" [¶ 0038]

b) In re claims 3-4 and 8-9, Fig. 2 in *Hsieh et al* discloses a second spacer insulating layer 18 overlying a first spacer insulating layer 12c, wherein the first spacer insulating layer 12c has a substantially uniform deposition distribution across a surface and the second spacer insulating layer 18 has a varying deposition distribution across a surface. In other words, the first spacer insulating layer 12c has a thicker composition proximate a center of the substrate and a thinner composition toward an edge of the substrate and the second spacer insulating layer 18 has a thinner composition proximate the center of the substrate and a thicker composition toward the edge of the substrate.

It would have been obvious to one having skills in the art to have modified the composite contact spacer of *Liu* by utilizing "a second spacer insulating layer overlying a first spacer insulating layer and having a second deposition distribution that varies in substantial opposition to said first deposition distribution of the first spacer insulating layer" for the purpose of easily patterning the layers in a subsequent step of forming a composite spacer out from the two layers.

Application No: 10/775,290 Page 8
Docket No: TSM03-0649 LIU et al.

However, the combination of *Liu*, *Tseng et al*, and *Hsieh* '044 does not teach "a second spacer insulating layer overlying a first spacer insulating layer and having a second deposition distribution that varies in substantial opposition to said first deposition distribution of the first spacer insulating layer as a function of the location of the split gate flash memory cell on the substrate." Nevertheless, such deposition distribution as a function of location is known in the semiconductor processing art as evidenced by *Korovin et al* teaching the thickness variation of a deposited layer as a function of location being deposited [Fig. 1]. Moreover, "the height of the peaks 18, 20, 22 or the depth of the valleys 24, 26 in the distribution will change with the thickness of the deposited film" [col. 3].

It would have been obvious to one having skills in the art to have modified the layer formation of Liu by utilizing the claimed "second deposition distribution that varies substantially opposition to the first deposition" because one would control the thickness profile of a deposited layer as a function of location by modifying the surface topology of a deposited layer [Abstract] so that it has a desired thickness at various locations. In the invention case, the thinner the underlying first spacer insulating layer the thicker the second spacer insulating layer being deposited thereon.

- c) In re claims 2 and 7, *Hsieh et al* also teaches the composite spacer being also a composite floating gate spacer **16a**, **16b** proximate a floating gate **14a**, **14b** of the flash memory cell [Fig. 3].
- 10. Claims 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of *Liu*, *Tseng et al*, and *Hsieh et al* '044, in view of *Bronner et al* (US 6,063,657) or *Sung* (US 6,165,843).

Application No: 10/775,290

Docket No: TSM03-0649 LIU et al.

Page 9

Tseng et al '053 discloses the oxide layer 610 being a TEOS-SiO₂ [Fig. 6 and ¶ 0027].

None of the cited arts above suggests a first spacer-insulating layer formed by an LPTEOS (low pressure tetraethyl orthosilicate) layer and a second spacer-insulating layer formed by a PETEOS (plasma enhanced tetraethyl orthosilicate) layer. Bronner et al discloses, "the spacer may be ...

PETEOS ..." [col. 2, ln.65]. Alternately, Sung discloses, "the oxide layer 142 would be ... LPTEOS ..." [col. 4, ln.30]. It would have been obvious to one having skills in the art to have modified the spacer material of Liu by utilizing a insulating spacer of LPTEOS (or PETEOS) for the purpose of providing an etch mask having a conformal layer thickness [col. 4 in Sung].

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Calvin Lee* at (571) 272-1896 on Mondays thru Thursdays 6:30-4:30 (EST). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Art Unit 2818's Supervisory Patent Examiner *David Nelms* can be reached at (571) 272-1787. The central fax number for the organization (where this application is assigned to) is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system at http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197.

Calvin Lee

September 19, 2004

calvalu